arXiv:1605.00805v1 [math.NT] 3 May 2016

On the arithmetic of the endomorphism ring $\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^m})$

Xiusheng Liu
School of Mathematics and Physics,
Hubei Polytechnic University
Huangshi, Hubei 435003, China,
Email: lxs6682@163.com
Hualu Liu
School of Mathematics and Physics,
Hubei Polytechnic University
Huangshi, Hubei 435003, China,
Email: hwlulu@163.com

May 4, 2016

Abstract

For a prime p, let $E_{p,p^m} = \{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ p^{m-1}c & d \end{pmatrix} | a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_p, \text{ and } d \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^m}\}$. We first establish a ring isomorphism from $\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p^m)$ onto E_{p,p^m}. We then provide the way to compute $-d$ and d^{-1} using arithmetic in $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{p^m}$, and characterize invertible elements in E_{p,p^m}. Moreover, we introduce the minimal polynomial for each element in E_{p,p^m} and given its applications.

Keywords: Endomorphism ring·Invertible element ·Minimal polynomials
2000 MR Subject Classification: 16S50·16W20·13B25

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, p denotes a prime number. Bergman[2] established the $\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^2})$ is a semilocal ring with p^3 elements that cannot be embedded in matrices over any commutative ring. Climent et al. [1] identitied the elements of $\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^2})$ with elements

in a new set, denoted by E_p, of matrices of size 2×2, whose elements in the first row belong to $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and the elements in the second row belong to $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$; also, using the arithmetic in $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$, then characterized the invertible elements of E_p. In this paper, we propose an approach following [1] to investigate the arithmetic of the generalized of endomorphism rings $\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^m})$.

Recall that $\mathbb{Z}_n = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$ is a commutative unitary ring with the addition and multiplication mod n, i.e., for any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_n$

$$(a + b) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_n = ((a + b) \; in \; \mathbb{Z}) \bmod n)$$

and

$$(ab) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_n = ((ab) \; in \; \mathbb{Z}) \bmod n).$$

From now on, let we assume that m is a positive integer and discuss the ring $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{p^m}$. Clearly, we can also assume that $\mathbb{Z}_p \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p^m}$, even though $\mathbb{Z}_p$ is not a subring of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^m}$. Then, it follows that notation is utmost important to prevent errors like the following. Suppose that $p = 7$, then

$$\mathbb{Z}_7 = \{0, 1, 2, 4, 5, 6\}, \text{ and } \mathbb{Z}_{7^2} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, 48\}.$$

Note that $3, 5 \in \mathbb{Z}_7$ and $3 + 5 = 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_7$; but $3, 5 \in \mathbb{Z}_{7^2}$ equally. However when $3, 5 \in \mathbb{Z}_{7^2}$, $3 + 5 = 8 \in \mathbb{Z}_{7^2}$. Obviously, $1 \neq 8$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{7^2}$. Such error can be easily avoidable if we write, when necessary, $x \bmod p$ and $x \bmod p^m$ to refer the element x when $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^m}$, respectively. In this light, the above example could be written as

$$(3 \bmod 7) + (5 \bmod 7) = 1 \bmod 7,$$

whereas

$$(3 \bmod 7^2) + (5 \bmod 7^2) = 8 \bmod 7^2.$$

This paper is structured as follows. In section 2, we construct a ring isomorphism from $\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^m})$ onto E_{p,p^m}. In section 3, we first provide the way to compute $-d$ and d^{-1} using arithmetic in $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{p^m}$). Then we characterize invertible elements in E_{p,p^m}. Finally, in section 4, we introduce the minimal polynomial for each element in E_{p,p^m} and consider its applications.

2 A characterization of the ring $\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^m})$

In this section, we denote by 1_p and 1_{p^m} the identity of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\mathbb{Z}_p^m$, respectively. In order to establish a ring isomorphism from $\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p^m)$ onto E_{p,p^m}, let us recall a well-known result from ring theory(See [3]).

The ring $\mathbb{Z}_{p^m}$ is a Galois ring of characteristic p^m. Each element $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^m}$ can be written uniquely as a finite sun

$$d = p^{m-1}u_{m-1} + \cdots + pu_1 + u_0,$$

where $u_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1$. The invertible elements in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^m}$ are precisely the d for which $u_0 \neq 0$, and $pd = 0$ if and only if $d = p^{m-1}a$, i.e., d is not invertible in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^m}$.

Since $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^m}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{p^m}$-module, an element $(u, v) \in \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^m}$ may be written uniquely as

$$r(1_p, 0) + s(0, 1_{p^m}),$$

where $r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, and $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^m}$.

Let $\alpha \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^m})$. Since α is $\mathbb{Z}_{p^m}$-linear, we have

$$\alpha(r(1_p, 0) + s(0, 1_{p^m})) = r\alpha(1_p, 0) + s\alpha(0, 1_{p^m}),$$

for any $r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, and $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^m}$. Therefore, α is uniquely determined by two elements $\alpha(1_p, 0)$ and $\alpha(0, 1_{p^m})$ of $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^m}$.

Now, suppose that $\alpha(1_p, 0) = (a, e)$ and $\alpha(0, 1_{p^m}) = (b, d)$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $e, d \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^m}$. Since $pa = p1_p = 0$, we have $(0, pe) = (pa, pe) = p(\alpha(1_p, 0)) = \alpha(p1_p, p0) = (0, 0)$, which implies $pe = 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^m}$. Hence there exists a uniquely $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $e = p^{m-1}c$. This means that

$$\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^m}) = \{\alpha | \alpha(1_p, 0) = (a, p^{m-1}c), \alpha(0, 1_{p^m}) = (b, d)\},$$

where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, and $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^m}$.

Obviously, $\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^m})$ is a nonccommutative unitary ring with the usual addition and composition of endomorphisms.

The proof in following Theorem is similar to that for [2] Theorem 3.

Theorem 2.1. *If p is a prime number, then the ring of endomorphism $\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^m})$ has p^{m+3} elements and is semilocal, but cannot be embedded in matrices over any commutative ring.*

Theorem 2.1 establishes that the ring $\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^m})$ cannot be embedded in matrices over any commutative ring. Nevertheless, we can obtain a matrix representation of the elements of this ring.

Let

$$E_{p,p^m} = \{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ p^{m-1}c & d \end{pmatrix} | a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_p, \text{ and } d \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^m}\},$$

where $p^{m-1}c$ represses an element of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^m}$ for any $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Lemma 2.2. *The set E_{p,p^m} is a nonccommutative unitary ring where addition is defined by*

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ p^{m-1}c_1 & d_1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ p^{m-1}c_2 & d_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (a_1 + a_2) \bmod p & (b_1 + b_2) \bmod p \\ p^{m-1}(c_1 + c_2) \bmod p^m & (d_1 + d_2) \bmod p^m \end{pmatrix}$$

and multiplication is defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ p^{m-1}c_1 & d_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ p^{m-1}c_2 & d_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (a_1a_2) \bmod p & (a_1b_2 + b_1d_2) \bmod p \\ p^{m-1}(c_1a_2 + c_2d_1) \bmod p^m & (p^{m-1}c_1b_2 + d_1d_2) \bmod p^m \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. The proof is straightforward. □

Following these results above, we now construct a ring isomorphism from $\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^m})$ onto E_{p,p^m}.

Theorem 2.3. *With notations as above. Define the map φ as follows*

$$\varphi: \qquad \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^m}) \to E_{p,p^m}$$

$$\alpha \mapsto \varphi(\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ p^{m-1}c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\alpha(1_p, 0) = (a, p^{m-1}c)$, $\alpha(0, 1_{p^m}) = (b, d)$ and $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^m}$. Then φ is a ring isomorphism from $\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^m})$ onto E_{p,p^m}.

Proof. Obviously, φ is a well-defined and an bijective mapping from $\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^m})$ onto E_{p,p^m}.

Next, suppose that $\alpha_i \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^m})$ with $\alpha_i(1_p, 0) = (a_i, p^{m-1}c_i)$, and $\alpha_i(0, 1_{p^m}) = (b_i, d_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then we have $\varphi(\alpha_i) = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ p^{m-1}c_i & d_i \end{pmatrix}$ for $i = 1, 2$. From

$$(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(1_p, 0) = (a_1, p^{m-1}c_1) + (a_2, p^{m-1}c_2) = ((a_1 + a_2) \bmod p, p^{m-1}(c_1 + c_2) \bmod p^m),$$

$$(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(0, 1_{pm}) = (b_1, d_1) + (b_2, d_2) = ((b_1 + b_2) \bmod p, (d_1 + d_2) \bmod p^m),$$

and by the defenition of φ and Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$\varphi(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = \begin{pmatrix} (a_1 + a_2) \bmod p & (b_1 + b_2) \bmod p \\ p^{m-1}(c_1 + c_2) \bmod p^m & (d_1 + d_2) \bmod p^m \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ p^{m-1}c_1 & d_1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ p^{m-1}c_2 & d_2 \end{pmatrix} = \varphi(\alpha_1) + \varphi(\alpha_2).$$

Moreover, by the definition of composition of endormorphism, we have

$$(\alpha_1\alpha_2)(1_p, 0) = \alpha_1(a_2, p^{m-1}c_2) = \alpha_1(a_2(1_p, 0) + p^{m-1}c_2(0, 1_{p^m}))$$

$$= (a_2)\alpha_1(1_p, 0) + p^{m-1}c_2\alpha_1(0, 1_{p^m})$$

$$= a_2((a_1, p^{m-1}c_1) + p^{m-1}c_2(b_1, d_1)$$

$$= ((a_1a_2) \bmod p, p^{m-1}(a_2c_1 + d_1c_2) \bmod p^m)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha_1\alpha_2)(0,1_{p^m},) &= \alpha_1(b_2,d_2) = \alpha_1(b_2(1_p,0)+d_2(0,1_{p^m}))\\ &= b_2\alpha_1(1_p,0)+d_2\alpha_1(0,1_{p^m})\\ &= b_2((a_1,p^{m-1}c_1)+d_2(b_1,d_1)\\ &= ((a_1b_2+d_2b_1) \bmod p, (p^{m-1}b_2c_1+d_1d_2) \bmod p^m).\end{aligned}$$

From these and by Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(\alpha_1\alpha_2) &= \begin{pmatrix}(a_1a_2) \bmod p & (b_2a_1+d_1d_2) \bmod p\\ p^{m-1}(c_1a_2+c_2d_1) \bmod p^m & (p^{m-1}c_1b_2+d_1d_2) \bmod p^m\end{pmatrix}\\ &= \begin{pmatrix}a_1 & b_1\\ p^{m-1}c_1 & d_1\end{pmatrix}\cdot\begin{pmatrix}a_2 & b_2\\ p^{m-1}c_2 & d_2\end{pmatrix} = \varphi(\alpha_1)\cdot\varphi(\alpha_2).\end{aligned}$$

This means that φ is a ring isomorphism from $\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{Z}_p\times\mathbb{Z}_{p^m})$ onto E_{p,p^m}. □

Remark 2.4. $|\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{Z}_p\times\mathbb{Z}_{p^m})| = |E_{p,p^m}|$.

From now on, we identity the elements of $\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{Z}_p\times\mathbb{Z}_{p^m})$ with the elements of E_{p,p^m}, and the arithmetic of $\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{Z}_p\times\mathbb{Z}_{p^m})$ with the arithmetic of E_{p,p^m}.

3 The arithmetic of invertible elements in the ring E_{p,p^m}

In this section we present our contributions, i.e., we first provide the way to compute $-d$ and d^{-1} using arithmetic in $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{p^m}$. We then characterize invertible elements in the ring E_{p,p^m}.

As usual, if $a,b\in\mathbb{Z}$, with $b\neq 0$, we denote by $\lfloor\frac{a}{b}\rfloor$ and $a \bmod b$ the quotient and the remainder of the division of a by b, respectively.

We first observe four helpful lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that* $d_i = p^{m-1}u_{m-1}^{(i)}+\cdots+pu_1^{(i)}+u_0^{(i)}$ *with* $u_{m-1}^{(i)},\ldots,u_1^{(i)},u_0^{(i)}\in\mathbb{Z}_p$, *for* $i=1,2$. *Let*

$$\begin{aligned}v_0 &= (u_0^{(1)}+u_0^{(2)}) \bmod p^m,\\ v_1 &= (u_1^{(1)}+u_1^{(2)}+\lfloor\frac{v_0}{p}\rfloor) \bmod p^m,\\ v_2 &= (u_2^{(1)}+u_2^{(2)}+\lfloor\frac{v_1}{p}\rfloor) \bmod p^m,\\ &\cdots\\ v_{m-2} &= (u_{m-2}^{(1)}+u_{m-2}^{(2)}+\lfloor\frac{v_{m-3}}{p}\rfloor) \bmod p^m,\end{aligned}$$

$$v_{m-1} = (u_{m-1}^{(1)} + u_{m-1}^{(2)} + \lfloor \frac{v_{m-2}}{p} \rfloor) \bmod p^m.$$

Then $d_1 + d_2 = p^{m-1}(v_{m-1} \bmod p) + \cdots + p(v_1 \bmod p) + (v_0 \bmod p)$.

Proof. Obviously,

$$d_1 + d_2 = [p^{m-1}(u_{m-1}^{(1)} + u_{m-1}^{(2)}) + \cdots + p(u_1^{(1)} + u_1^{(2)}) + (u_0^{(1)} + u_0^{(2)})] \bmod p^m.$$

According to the definition of v_i we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 + d_2 = p^{m-1}[(u_{m-1}^{(1)} + u_{m-1}^{(2)} + \lfloor \frac{v_{m-2}}{p} \rfloor) \bmod p] + \\ \cdots + p[(u_1^{(1)} + u_1^{(2)} + \lfloor \frac{v_0}{p} \rfloor) \bmod p] + (u_0^{(1)} + u_0^{(2)}) \bmod p \\ = p^{m-1}(v_{m-1} \bmod p) + \cdots + p(v_1 \bmod p) + (v_0 \bmod p). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that* $d = p^{m-1}u_{m-1} + \cdots + pu_1 + u_0$ *with* $u_{m-1}, \ldots, u_1, u_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. *Let*

$$\begin{aligned} v_0 &= -u_0 \bmod p, \\ w_0 &= (u_0 - u_0) \bmod p^m \\ v_1 &= (-u_1 - \lfloor \frac{w_0}{p} \rfloor) \bmod p, \\ w_1 &= (u_1 + v_1 + \lfloor \frac{w_0}{p} \rfloor) \bmod p^m \\ v_2 &= (-u_2 - \lfloor \frac{w_1}{p} \rfloor) \bmod p, \\ w_2 &= (u_2 + v_2) + \lfloor \frac{w_1}{p} \rfloor \bmod p^m \\ &\cdots \\ v_{m-2} &= (-u_{m-2} - \lfloor \frac{w_{m-3}}{p} \rfloor) \bmod p, \\ w_{m-2} &= (u_{m-2} + v_{m-2} + \lfloor \frac{w_{m-3}}{p} \rfloor, \bmod p^m \\ v_{m-1} &= (-u_{m-1} - \lfloor \frac{w_{m-2}}{p} \rfloor) \bmod p. \end{aligned}$$

Then $-d = p^{m-1}v_{m-1} + \cdots + pv_1 + v_0$.

Proof. In light of Lemma 3.1,

$$d - d = p^{m-1}(s_{m-1} \bmod p) + \cdots + p(s_1 \bmod p) + (s_0 \bmod p),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
s_0 &= (u_0 - u_0) \bmod p^m, \\
s_1 &= (u_1 + [(-u_1 - \lfloor \frac{w_0}{p} \rfloor) \bmod p] + \lfloor \frac{w_0}{p} \rfloor) \bmod p^m, \\
s_2 &= (u_2 + [(-u_2 - \lfloor \frac{w_1}{p} \rfloor) \bmod p] + \lfloor \frac{w_1}{p} \rfloor) \bmod p^m, \\
&\cdots \\
s_{m-1} &= (u_{m-1} + [(-u_{m-1} - \lfloor \frac{w_{m-2}}{p} \rfloor) \bmod p] + \lfloor \frac{w_{m-2}}{p} \rfloor) \bmod p^m.
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
s_0 &= (u_0 - u_0) \bmod p = 0, \\
s_1 &= [u_1 + ((-u_1 - \lfloor \frac{w_0}{p} \rfloor) \bmod p) + \lfloor \frac{w_0}{p} \rfloor] \bmod p = 0, \\
s_2 &= [u_2 + ((-u_2 - \lfloor \frac{w_1}{p} \rfloor) \bmod p) + \lfloor \frac{w_1}{p} \rfloor] \bmod p = 0, \\
&\cdots \\
s_{m-1} &= [u_{m-1} + ((-u_{m-1} - \lfloor \frac{w_{m-2}}{p} \rfloor) \bmod p) + \lfloor \frac{w_{m-2}}{p} \rfloor] \bmod p = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$d - d = p^{m-1}(s_{m-1} \bmod p) + \cdots + p(s_1 \bmod p) + (s_0 \bmod p) = 0.$$

This proves the expected result. □

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that* $d_i = p^{m-1}u_{m-1}^{(i)} + \cdots + pu_1^{(i)} + u_0^{(i)}$ *with* $u_{m-1}^{(i)}, \ldots, u_1^{(i)}, u_0^{(i)} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, *for* $i = 1, 2$. *Let*

$$\begin{aligned}
w_0 &= u_0^{(1)}u_0^{(2)} \bmod p^m, \\
w_1 &= (\sum_{i+j=1} u_i^{(1)}u_j^{(2)} + \lfloor \frac{w_0}{p} \rfloor) \bmod p^m, \\
w_2 &= (\sum_{i+j=2} u_i^{(1)}u_j^{(2)} + \lfloor \frac{w_1}{p} \rfloor) \bmod p^m, \\
&\cdots \\
w_{m-2} &= (\sum_{i+j=m-2} u_i^{(1)}u_j^{(2)} + \lfloor \frac{w_{m-3}}{p} \rfloor) \bmod p^m, \\
w_{m-1} &= (\sum_{i+j=m-1} u_i^{(1)}u_j^{(2)} + \lfloor \frac{w_{m-1}}{p} \rfloor) \bmod p^m.
\end{aligned}$$

Then $d_1d_2 = p^{m-1}(w_{m-1} \bmod p) + \cdots + p(w_1 \bmod p) + (w_0 \bmod p)$.

Proof. The proof is similar to Lemma 3.1. □

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that* $d = p^{m-1}u_{m-1} + \cdots + pu_1 + u_0$ *with* $u_{m-1}, \ldots, u_1, u_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. *If* $u_0 \neq 0$, *then* d *is invertible in* $\mathrm{Z_{p^m}}$. *Further, write*

$$s_0 = u_0^{-1} \bmod p \quad ,$$

$$w_0 = u_0 u_0^{-1} \bmod p^m$$

$$s_1 = -u_0^{-1}(u_1, 1)(s_0, \lfloor \frac{w_0}{p} \rfloor)^T \bmod p,$$

$$w_1 = (u_1, u_0)(s_0, s_1)^T + \lfloor \frac{w_0}{p} \rfloor \bmod p^m$$

$$s_2 = -u_0^{-1}(u_2, u_1, 1)(s_0, s_1, \lfloor \frac{w_1}{p} \rfloor)^T \bmod p,$$

$$w_2 = (u_2, u_1, u_0)(s_0, s_1, s_2)^T + \lfloor \frac{w_1}{p} \rfloor \bmod p^m$$

in general, for $3 \leq k \leq m-1$

$$s_k = -u_0^{-1}((u_k, \ldots, u_1, 1)(s_0, \ldots, s_{k-1}, \lfloor \frac{w_{k-1}}{p} \rfloor)^T \bmod p,$$

and,

$$w_k = (u_k, \ldots, u_0)(s_0, \ldots, s_{k-1})^T + \lfloor \frac{w_{k-1}}{p} \rfloor \bmod p^m.$$

where T *denotes transpose of matrix. Then* $d^{-1} = p^{m-1}s_{m-1} + \cdots + ps_1 + s_0$.

Proof. Since $0 \neq u_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, there is a unique inverse $u_0^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ of u_0 such that $u_0 u_0^{-1} = 1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Take, $a = p^{m-1}t_{m-1} + \cdots + pt_1 + t_0$ with $t_{m-1}, \cdots, t_1, t_0 \in \mathrm{Z}_{p^m}$, then by Lemma 3.2, we have $ad = p^{m-1}(h_{m-1} \bmod p) + \cdots + p(h_1 \bmod p) + (h_0 \bmod p)$, where

$$h_0 = u_0 t_0,$$

$$h_1 = \sum_{i+j=1} u_i t_j + \lfloor \frac{h_0}{p} \rfloor,$$

$$h_2 = \sum_{i+j=2} u_i t_j + \lfloor \frac{h_1}{p} \rfloor,$$

$$\cdots$$

$$h_{m-2} = \sum_{i+j=m-2} u_i t_j + \lfloor \frac{h_{m-3}}{p} \rfloor,$$

$$h_{m-1} = \sum_{i+j=m-1} u_i t_j + \lfloor \frac{h_{m-2}}{p} \rfloor.$$

In order to get $ad = 1$ in $\mathrm{Z_p^m}$, first taking $t_0 = s_0 = u_0^{-1}$, we have $h_0 = w_0 = u_0 u_0^{-1}$=1 in $\mathbb{Z}_p$.

Next, taking, $t_1 = s_1$, we have $h_1 = w_1 = 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}_p$, but $h_1 = w_1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_p^m$.

Continuing this process, taking $t_k = s_k$, we have $h_k = w_k = 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}_p$, but $h_k = w_k$ in $\mathbb{Z}_p^m$, for $k = 2, 3, \ldots, m-1$. This means that

$$a = p^{m-1}s_{m-1} + \cdots + ps_1 + s_0.$$

Therefore $ad = 1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_p^m$, i.e., $d^{-1} = p^{m-1}s_{m-1} + \cdots + ps_1 + s_0$. □

In the following we establish a characterization of the invertible element of E_{p,p^m}. First, by Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, we obtain the following characterization of addition and multiplication in Z_{p,p^m} in terms of the arithmetic of $\mathbb{Z}_{\text{ı}}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{p^m}$.

Corollary 3.5. *Assume that all hypothesis of Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.3 are valid. Let*

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ p^{m-1}c_1 & p^{m-1}u_{m-1}^{(1)} + \ldots + pu_1^{(1)} + u_0^{(1)} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ p^{m-1}c_2 & p^{m-1}u_{m-1}^{(2)} + \ldots + pu_1^{(2)} + u_0^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}$$

be two elements of E_{p,p^m}. Then

$$A_1 + A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} (a_1 + a_2) \bmod p & (b_1 + b_2)) \bmod p \\ p^{m-1}((c_1 + c_2) \bmod p) & p^{m-1}(v_{m-1} \bmod p) + \ldots + p(v_1 \bmod p) + v_o \bmod p \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$A_1A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} (a_1a_2) \bmod p & (a_1b_2 + b_1u_0^{(2)})) \bmod p \\ p^{m-1}((c_1a_2 + u_0^{(1)}c_2) \bmod p) & \delta \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\delta = p^{m-1}((c_1b_2 + w_{m-1}) \bmod p) + \ldots + pw_1 + w_0$.

Next, combining Lemma 3.4 and Corollary 3.5, we can now to establish a characterization of the invertible element of E_{p,p^m}.

Theorem 3.6. *Suppose that*

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ p^{m-1}c & p^{m-1}u_{m-1} + \ldots + pu_1 + u_0 \end{pmatrix} \in E_{p,p^m},$$

where $a, b, c, u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{m-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then M is invertible if and only if $a \neq 0$ and $u_0 \neq 0$, and in this case the inverse M^{-1} of M in E_{p,p^m} is given by

$$M^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1} \bmod p & (-a^{-1}bu_0^{-1}) \bmod p \\ p^{m-1}((-a^{-1}cu_0^{-1}) \bmod p) & p^{m-1}[(ca^{-1}bu_0^{-2} + s_{m-1}) \bmod p] + p^{m-2}s_{m-2} + \ldots + ps_1 + s_0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $p^{m-1}s_{m-1} + \ldots + ps_1 + s_0$ is invertible of $p^{m-1}u_{m-1} + \ldots + pu_1 + u_0$.

Proof. If M is invertible, the there exists

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ p^{m-1}z & p^{m-1}t_{m-1} + \ldots + pt_1 + t_0 \end{pmatrix} \in E_{p,p^m},$$

with $x, y, z, t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_{m-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, such that $MN = I$, where $I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. In light of Corollary 3.4, we obtain

$$1 = (ax) \bmod p \text{ and } 1 = (u_0 t_0) \bmod p.$$

Therefore $a \neq 0$ and $u_0 \neq 0$.

Reciprocally, let $a \neq 0$ and $u_0 \neq 0$. Then there exist $a^{-1}, u_0^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Taking

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1} \text{mod} p & (-a^{-1}bu_0^{-1}) \text{mod} p \\ p^{m-1}((-a^{-1}cu_0^{-1}) \text{mod} p) & p^{m-1}[(ca^{-1}bu_0^{-2} + s_{m-1}) \text{mod} p] + p^{m-2}s_{m-2} + \ldots + ps_1 + s_0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $p^{m-1}s_{m-1} + \ldots + ps_1 + s_0$ is invertible of $p^{m-1}u_{m-1} + \ldots + pu_1 + u_0$. From Corollary 3.5, we have that $I = \begin{pmatrix} h & r \\ p^{m-1}l & t \end{pmatrix}$, where

$$h = (aa^{-1} \bmod p = 1,$$

$$r = (a(-a^{-1}bu_0^{-1}) + bu_0^{-1}) \bmod p = 0,$$

$$l = (ca^{-1} - u_0^{-1}ca^{-1}u_0) \bmod p = 0,$$

and

$$t = p^{m-1}c(-a^{-1}bu_0^{-1}) + [p^{m-1}(ca^{-1}bu_0^{-2} + s_{m-1}) + p^{m-2}s_{m-1} + \ldots + ps_1 + s_0][p^{m-1}u_{m-1} + \ldots + pu_1 + u_0] = 1.$$

And consequently, $MN = I$.

Similar to prove $NM = I$. therefore, M is invertible in E_{p,p^m} and $M^{-1} = N$. □

The following result gives the number of invertible elements of E_{p,p^m}.

Corollary 3.7. *Let U_{p,p^m} be the set of all invertible elements of E_{p,p^m}. Then $|U_{p,p^m}| = p^{m+1}(p-1)^2$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.6, the number of invertible elements of E_{p,p^m} equals $|U_{p,p^m}| = |\mathbb{Z}_p|^{m-1}|\mathbb{Z}_p - 0|^2 = p^{m+1}(p-1)^2$. □

Note that $\frac{U_{p,p^m}}{E_{p,p^m}} = \frac{p^{m+1}(p-1)^2}{p^{m+3}} = (1 - \frac{1}{p})^2 \approx 1$. This means that for large values of p, almost all the elements of E_{p,p^m} are invertible.

4 The minimal polynomial of each element in E_{p,p^m}

In this section, we introduce a concept of the minimal polynomial for each element in E_{p,p^m}. We start by showing Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that* $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ p^{m-1}c & d \end{pmatrix} \in E_{p,p^m}$ *with* $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ *and* $d = p^{m-1}u_{m-1} + \ldots + pu_1 + u_0$ *where* $u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{m-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. *Let*

$$r = -(a+d) \bmod p^m, \qquad s = (ad - p^{m-1}bc) \bmod p^m,$$

and denote $f_A(x) = x^2 + rx + s \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. *Then* $f_A(A) = A^2 + rA + sI = 0$.

Proof. By assumption, we have

$$M^2 = \begin{pmatrix} a^2 \bmod p & (ab + bu_0) \bmod p \\ p^{m-1}[(ca + cu_0) \bmod p] & (p^{m-1}cb + d^2)) \bmod p^m \end{pmatrix} \in E_{p,p^m},$$

$$\begin{aligned} rM &= \begin{pmatrix} [(-a^2 - ad) \bmod p^m] \bmod p & [(-ab + bd) \bmod p^m] \bmod p \\ -p^{m-1}[(ca + cu_0) \bmod p^m] \bmod p] & -((a+d) \bmod p^m) \cdot (d \bmod p^m) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (-a^2 - au_0) \bmod p & (-ab + bu_0) \bmod p \\ -p^{m-1}[(ca + cu_0) \bmod p] & -(ad) \bmod p^m - d^2 \bmod p^m \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$sI = \begin{pmatrix} [(ad - p^{m-1}bc) \bmod p^m] \bmod p & 0 \\ 0 & (ad - p^{m-1}bc) \bmod p^m \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore $f_A(A) = A^2 + rA + sI = 0$. □

Definition 4.2. *For* $A \in E_{p,p^m}$, *we call monic polynomial* $f_A(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ *the minimal polynomial of* A *if* $f_A(A) = 0$ *in* E_{p,p^m} *and* $g(A) = 0$ *if and only if* $f_A(x)$ *divides* $g(x)$ *in* $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

Corollary 4.3. *In the notation of Theorem 4.1,* $f_A(x) = x^2 + rx + s$ *is the minimal polynomial of* A.

In $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ or $\mathbb{Z}_{p^m}[x]$, for any $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, by the polynomial division with remainder algorithm over $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, we obtain

$$g(x) = q(x)f_A(x) + r(x),$$

where $q(x), r(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, and $r(x) = 0$ or $deg(r(x)) < deg(f_A(x))$. Hence

$$g(A) = q(A)f_A(A) + r(A) = r(A).$$

This means that we can simplify the computations of $g(A)$ for any $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and M^{-1} in E_{p,p^m}.

Corollary 4.4. *With notations of Theorem 4.1. If $a \neq 0$ and $u_0 \neq 0$, then $A^{-1} = -s^{-1}(A + rI)$.*

Proof. Since $A^2 + rA + sI = 0$, we have $(A + rI)A = (-s)I$. By $a \neq 0$ and $u_0 \neq 0$. Therefore,

$$A^{-1} = (-s)^{-1}(A + rI) = -s^{-1}(A + rI).$$

□

We finish this section by showing how Corollary 4.4 works for computing invertible elements in E_{p,p^m}.

Example 1. *Suppose that* $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 5^2 \cdot 3 & 5^2 \cdot 2 + 5 \cdot 3 + 2 \end{pmatrix} \in E_{5,5^3}$. *Then by Theorem 4.1 we have*

$$r = -(2 + 5^2 \cdot 2 + 5 \cdot 3 + 2) \bmod 5^3 = 56 \bmod 5^3 = 5^2 \cdot 2 + 5 \cdot 1 + 1,$$

and

$$s = [2(5^2 \cdot 2 + 5 \cdot 3 + 2) - 5^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 3] \bmod 5^3 = 34 \bmod 5^3 = 5^2 \cdot 1 + 5 \cdot 1 + 4.$$

In light of Lemma 3.3, we obtain

$$s^{-1} = 5^2 \cdot 4 + \cdot 2 + 4,$$

hence,$-s^{-1} = 5 \cdot 2 + 1$.

Now, by Corollary 4.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A^{-1} &= (5 \cdot 2 + 1)[\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 5^2 \cdot 3 & 5^2 \cdot 2 + 5 \cdot 3 + 2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 5^2 \cdot 2 + 5 \cdot 1 + 1 \end{pmatrix}] \\ &= (5 \cdot 2 + 1) \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 3 \\ 5^2 \cdot 3 & 5^2 \cdot 4 + 5 \cdot 4 + 3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 3 \\ 5^2 \cdot 3 & 5^2 \cdot 4 + 3 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

References

[1] C liment,J.-J.,Navarro,P.R.: On the arithmetic of the endomophisms ring End($\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$). Appl. Algebra Eng. Comput. **22**,91-108(2011)

[2] Bergman, G.M.: Example in PI ring theory. Isr. J. Math.**18**,257-277(1974)

[3] Wan, Z.-X.: Lectures on Finite Fields and Galois Rings. world Scientific, Singapore(2003)